SUBJECTS.

Dewan's reply.—The Member's suggestions will be communicated to the High Court for such action as may appear to them necessary.

23. *Commission orders of Civil Courts for valuation of improvements on properties.*

Mr. Devan Krishnan Karthavu (Member, Shertallay Taluk) said that the desirability of entrusting commission orders of Civil Courts for valuation of improvements on properties to respectable citizens of the locality for execution had been brought up at the third session of the Assembly, and Government then promised to suggest to the High Court the advisability of appointing others than Court employés for the purpose. Orders were since issued for entrusting such commission work to vakils or retired officers. He said that the change was not in pursuance of the representation made to Government and that, even at present, such orders were being executed by the Court officials, such as Amins. The Amin, when he came to a place for the purpose of valuing a property in connection with a civil dispute, usually favoured that party who gave him an illegal gratification, prepared a valuation list and got the same attested by two of his own followers. As the lists prepared by these Commissioners were practically final, the hardships the people were put to under the present arrangement were very great. He therefore requested that the commission orders in such cases might be ordered to be given to representatives of the people, instead of to the Court officials, and that, if that was not practicable, the records prepared by the Commissioners might at least be caused to be attested by respectable and experienced villagers, of whom four or five might be previously selected and a list kept for each proverthi.

Dewan's reply.—The Member will do well to put in writing all that he has to say clearly and in detail and send up the same to Government. His suggestions will then be communicated to the High Court for such action as may appear to them necessary.

24. *Repeal of the High Court Circular regarding the attestation of depositions recorded in Courts.*

Mr. K. Raman Unnithan (Member, Kunnattur Taluk) said that the High Court, by their Circular No. 25 of 1083, dispensed with the attestation by the opposite party of depositions recorded in Courts. Though the standing orders required that the depositions taken down each day should be signed by the presiding officer of the Court on the same day, the rule was not generally observed in practice, with the result that it afforded much scope to the petty subordinates of the Court to tamper with the records in any way they liked. He therefore requested that the criminal circular in question might be repealed.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly